

UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT
Northern District of California

Consenting to a
Magistrate Judge's
Jurisdiction
in the
Northern District of California



James Ware, Chief Judge

Richard Wieking, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Northern District of California

Clerk of Court
Richard Wieking
415-522-2045

San Francisco

450 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, California 94102
Phone: 415-522-2000
Fax: 415-522-3605

Eureka

514 H Street
Eureka, California 95501
Phone: 707-445-3612

Oakland Division

1301 Clay Street, Suite 400S
Oakland, California 94612-5212
Phone: 510-637-3530
Fax: 510-637-3545

San Jose Division

280 South First Street, Room 2112
San Jose, California 95113
Phone: 408-535-5364 / 5363
Fax: 408-535-5360



**A Message from the Chief Judge
of the U.S. District Court**

As you embark on civil litigation in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California—whether as a party to a lawsuit or as an attorney—I encourage you to familiarize yourself with the range of services provided by the court’s magistrate judges and especially to consider consenting to have a magistrate judge handle all aspects of your case, up to and including dispositive motions, jury or court trial and the entry of judgment.

The Northern District is one of the few federal trial courts in the country to assign a wide range of civil cases directly to magistrate judges upon filing. As a consequence, the magistrate judges have direct experience with nearly all types of civil matters filed in our court. Because our court is very busy, agreeing to proceed before a magistrate judge often means that the case will be resolved more quickly than if the case remained before a district judge.

Every magistrate judge in the Northern District underwent a highly competitive selection process and had years of litigation experience before being appointed to the bench.

While consent is customarily given soon after a case is filed, parties may consent to have a magistrate judge preside over their case at any point in the proceedings.

As the biographies that follow demonstrate, each is active in law school teaching and continuing legal education for attorneys. Many have been appointed to important committees within the federal courts.

Each has been appointed based on detailed, confidential feedback from the bar and the community. Combined, the Northern District's magistrate judges bring hundreds of hours of federal judicial experience to their work at our court. Each is equipped to handle the full range of issues presented to our court.

James Ware
Chief Judge

HOW CONSENT JURISDICTION WORKS

Since 1979, the parties in a civil action have had the option of consenting to have all aspects of their case, including trial, handled by a United States magistrate judge.¹ The Northern District of California has been one of the leaders nationwide in implementing this process. When a civil action is filed in this District, ordinarily it will be randomly assigned for all purposes to either a district judge or a magistrate judge.²

By local practice, a magistrate judge is assigned a civil caseload approximately 30% that of a district judge's civil caseload, in recognition of a magistrate judge's other duties, such as presiding over settlement conferences. Each magistrate judge typically has about 100 consent cases.

In 2009, the magistrate judges completed handling over 900 civil cases in which they had exercised consent jurisdiction. When a case is initially assigned to a magistrate judge, the plaintiff is given a form to use to either consent to or decline magistrate judge jurisdiction.³ Plaintiff is also required to serve that form on each defendant.

Each party should make a decision regarding magistrate judge jurisdiction as soon as possible, and in any event prior to the case management conference which is generally held about 100 days after the case is filed. Civil L.R. 73-1.

If all parties consent to magistrate jurisdiction, then the magistrate judge to whom the case is assigned will preside over all aspects of the case, through trial. F.R.Civ.P. 73(b). An appeal from the magistrate judge's rulings is made to the appropriate appellate court exactly as if the rulings were from a district judge. F.R.Civ.P. 73(c).

A civil case initially assigned to a district judge may also be reassigned to a magistrate judge if all parties consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction. The parties should expect the district judge to ask at the case management conference

whether they have considered consenting to a magistrate judge jurisdiction.

Each magistrate judge has an assigned courtroom designed to accommodate civil jury trials. Each magistrate judge has at least one law clerk. Many have a second law clerk in lieu of a secretary.

Magistrate judges are fully integrated into the court's administration, serving on all court committees and chairing some of them.

Unlike district judges, magistrate judges do not preside over felony criminal matters.

POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF CONSENTING TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE JURISDICTION

The Northern District Court of California has always recruited experienced trial attorneys of the highest caliber who undergo a merit selection process before being appointed as a magistrate judge. Because of their diverse experiences while in practice and while presiding over civil matters including trials, this District's magistrate judges are able to preside over all types of civil litigation. The biographies of the current magistrate judges are set forth below.

Parties that consent to have their case tried before a magistrate judge will receive a date certain for trial. The right to a speedy trial in felony criminal matters requires district judges to give statutory priority to trying those cases, which can sometimes require that civil trial dates be moved.

The historical experience in this District has been that our magistrate judges have virtually always met their scheduled trial dates. Because magistrate judges' trial dockets are generally less crowded than those of district court judges, they are often able to schedule a trial within a year of the filing of the complaint.

ENDNOTES

- 1 Federal Magistrate Act of 1979, 28 U.S.C § 636(c)(1). See also F.R.Civ.P. 73(b).
- 2 District Judges, sometimes called Article III Judges, are appointed by the President, confirmed with the advice and consent of the Senate and hold their position for life. Magistrate Judges are appointed by the District Judges of each district following a merit selection process and serve for a period of eight years, subject to reappointment.
- 3 If the case has been removed from state court, the form is given to the removing party, who is required to serve it on all other parties.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE BIOGRAPHIES

San Francisco

Magistrate Judge Jacqueline Scott Corley

Magistrate Judge Maria-Elena James

Magistrate Judge Elizabeth Laporte

Magistrate Judge James Larson

Magistrate Judge Joseph Spero

Magistrate Judge Bernard Zimmerman

Eureka

Magistrate Judge Nandor Vadas

Oakland

Magistrate Judge Laurel Beeler

Magistrate Judge Donna Ryu

San Jose

Magistrate Judge Howard Lloyd

Magistrate Judge Paul Grewal



**MAGISTRATE JUDGE
JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY**
San Francisco

Magistrate Judge Jacqueline Scott Corley was appointed in 2011. Judge Corley has extensive federal court litigation experience.

Prior to her appointment, she was a partner at Kerr & Wagstaffe, LLP in San Francisco where she practiced civil litigation with an emphasis on federal practice. She represented individuals and institutions in a variety of civil litigation matters, including trademark, copyright, patent, defamation, malicious prosecution, class actions, contract and probate.

From 1998 through 2009 Judge Corley served as a career law clerk to the Honorable Charles R. Breyer.

Judge Corley has served on the Northern District of California Alternative Dispute Resolution mediation and early neutral evaluation panels, and mediated and evaluated Northern District contract, disability, employment, insurance coverage, ERISA and civil rights lawsuits.

Judge Corley received her undergraduate degree from U.C. Berkeley, and her J.D. from Harvard Law School magna cum laude, where she was an editor and Articles Chair of the Harvard Law Review. Upon graduation, she served as a law clerk to the Honorable Robert Keeton of the District Court for the District of Massachusetts. She then practiced complex commercial litigation and white collar criminal defense at Goodwin, Procter LLP in Boston; and was a litigation associate at Coblenz, Patch, Duffy & Bass LLP, before joining Judge Breyer in 1998.



**MAGISTRATE JUDGE
MARIA-ELENA JAMES**

San Francisco

Magistrate Judge Maria-Elena James was appointed in 1994. She has presided over numerous cases and conducted thousands of settlement conferences. Outside the courtroom, she teaches a number of classes at three Bay Area law schools: University of California Hastings, University of San Francisco, and Golden Gate University.

She also co-created a course called The Roles of Referees and Commissioners and taught the course, along with another course, at the California Judicial Education and Research College.

A 1978 graduate of the University of San Francisco Law School, she served as director of the Small Claims Court Education Project in the Consumer Fraud Unit of the San Francisco District Attorney's Office. She went on to serve as a deputy public defender in San Francisco, staff attorney for the National Labor Relations Board, and Deputy City Attorney as well as supervising attorney in San Francisco.

Judge James then served as a Commissioner in the San Francisco Superior Court for six years. She volunteers as a mock trial judge for all grades of students and serves as a mentor to law students. Her speaking engagements include a 2006 panel on Comparative Racial Justice at the University of Paris, Nanterre and the Assemblée Nationale.



**MAGISTRATE JUDGE
ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE**


San Francisco

Magistrate Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte was appointed in 1998. She has presided over numerous civil cases through trial or other disposition, including patent, trademark, copyright, employment, civil rights and environmental cases. She also has conducted over 1000 settlement conferences, handled criminal matters, and resolved discovery disputes.

A 1982 graduate of Yale Law School and a Marshall Scholar, she clerked for the Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel in the Northern District of California. She was a partner at the boutique litigation firm of Turner & Brorby, and an Administrative Law Judge for the California Department of Insurance. In 1996, she began serving as Chief of Special Litigation for the San Francisco City Attorney's Office, and was named a Lawyer of the Year by California Lawyer. She has authored articles on patent litigation and settlement in the *Northern California ABTL [Association of Business Trial Lawyers] Report*, and has written on e-discovery.

Judge Laporte serves on the Board of Governors for the Northern California Chapter of the Association of Business Trial Lawyers and the Executive Committee of the Litigation Section of the Bar Association of San Francisco. She is also a judicial observer for the Sedona Conference Working Group on Electronic Document Retention and Production.

Judge Laporte has recently been appointed the Alternative Dispute Resolution Magistrate Judge for the Northern District of California, is a past chair of the Magistrate Judge Executive Board of the Ninth Circuit, and was a member of the Jury Trial Improvement Committee of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals from 2002 to 2009. She regularly speaks at legal conferences and judicial education programs on patent litigation, jury trials, e-discovery, employment law, settlement, and other topics.



**MAGISTRATE JUDGE
JAMES LARSON**
San Francisco

Magistrate Judge James Larson was appointed in 1997. He was appointed Chief Magistrate Judge in 2005 for a four year term. He has presided over criminal and civil cases, handled discovery and conducted settlement conferences in a variety of subject areas, including intellectual property, antitrust, contracts, civil rights, employment, environmental, class actions and other statutory liability. He has conducted more than 1,000 mediations and settlement conferences.

He received his undergraduate degree from Stanford University in 1965 and his J.D. from U.C.L.A. law school in 1968, where he was selected for the Moot Court Honors Program. Thereafter he worked in a number of small firms in Los Angeles and the Bay Area, handling admiralty, personal injury, civil rights and criminal matters before founding the law firm of Larson and Weinberg in San Francisco, where he remained until 1990. He then formed his own firm and worked on civil, criminal, trial and appellate cases.

Judge Larson has taught civil trials and criminal pre-trial procedure and has participated for many years in the Intensive Trial Advocacy Program at Cardozo Law School in New York.

Judge Larson has chaired or served on numerous court committees and has appeared on panels of judges and attorneys discussing e-discovery issues, class actions, settlement techniques, punitive damages, and bad faith litigation. In December, 2007, he and several other members of the court conducted a comprehensive mediation training program for the High Court Judges of Malaysia.



**MAGISTRATE JUDGE
JOSEPH C. SPERO**

San Francisco


Magistrate Judge Joseph C. Spero was appointed in 1999. He has presided as trial judge in criminal and civil cases in a variety of subject areas, including patent, employment, civil rights, commercial contract, trademark, and federal misdemeanor cases. He has also served as a settlement judge in over 1000 cases.

He serves as the liaison judge for Pretrial Services and Probation, and as a member of the court's Technology Practice and Non-Appropriated Funds Committees.

A 1981 graduate of Columbia University School of Law, he clerked for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. He worked as an associate at Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, and as associate then partner at Coblenz, Cahen, McCabe & Breyer (now Coblenz, Patch, Duffy & Bass).

While in private practice, he trained as a mediator at Harvard Law School and served as a mediator in the Northern District's Alternative Dispute Resolution Program. He also served as a Judge Pro-Tem for the San Francisco County Superior Court.

Judge Spero served as pro bono counsel in a variety of cases. He received the Thurgood Marshall Award from the Bar Association of the City of New York.



**MAGISTRATE JUDGE
BERNARD ZIMMERMAN**

San Francisco

Magistrate Judge Bernard Zimmerman was appointed in 1995. With party consent, he has presided over a wide range of civil cases, including patent, trademark and copyright cases, class actions, contract and employment cases and civil rights, personal injury and admiralty cases. He has presided over more than 30 civil and criminal jury and bench trials and more than 1,000 settlement conferences. He chairs the court's Technology Committee and serves on the Media and Budget Committees.

A 1970 graduate of the University of Chicago Law School, he clerked for the Honorable Frederick J.R. Heebe in the Eastern District of Louisiana and then taught law at the Louisiana State University Law Center. Returning to California, he was an associate and then partner at Pillsbury Madison & Sutro where he had a general litigation practice focusing on media, banking, construction, insurance and business issues. In 1995, he served as Legal Consultant to the Third Constitutional Convention of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

In past years, he has taught Federal Pretrial Litigation at Hastings College of the Law. He is a master of the Intellectual Property Inn of Court and has participated in numerous panels addressing issues such as ADR, class actions and discovery.



**MAGISTRATE JUDGE
NANDOR J. VADAS**

Eureka

Magistrate Judge Nandor J. Vadas was appointed to the bench in 2004. Although Judge Vadas maintains his chambers in Eureka, he also presides over cases in San Francisco. Judge Vadas has presided over a wide variety of criminal cases and civil cases, including matters involving civil rights, employment discrimination, the Americans with Disabilities Act, Indian law, and the Endangered Species Act.

Judge Vadas has worked to improve and expand the services offered by the Court's Eureka division and he has initiated an innovative early settlement program for prisoner civil rights cases designed to provide an alternative method of resolving some of the hundreds of lawsuits brought each year by unrepresented California prisoners housed at Pelican Bay State Prison. The success of the program led to its expansion to all state prisons in the Northern District of California and to some prisons in the Eastern District of California.

Judge Vadas served as Deputy District Attorney for Humboldt County and as Special Assistant United States Attorney for the Eureka region. As a district attorney he prosecuted all federal misdemeanor cases heard in the federal magistrate judge court in Eureka and investigated federal drug and money laundering crimes. He taught a variety of criminal justice courses at the Redwood Police academy at College of the Redwoods.

He served as a deputy district attorney for the City and County of San Francisco from 1983–1989, and as an Assistant United States Attorney in San Francisco from 1989–1998. Judge Vadas is a former member of the Magistrate Judges' Advisory Committee to the Federal Judicial Conferences, and he is a member of the Board of Directors of Project Leaping Stone, which builds schools in West Africa. He graduated from the University of California-Santa Cruz and Hastings College of the Law.

All new civil and criminal actions arising in the counties of Del Norte, Lake, Humboldt and Mendocino will be assigned directly to Judge Vadas, subject to consent under 28 USC § 636(c)(1).



**MAGISTRATE JUDGE
LAUREL BEELER**

Oakland

Magistrate Judge Laurel Beeler was appointed in 2010. Before joining the Court, she was an assistant United States attorney in the Northern District, prosecuting complex white-collar cases with parallel criminal and civil components. While at the United States Attorney's Office, she served as the Professional Responsibility Officer, Deputy Chief of the Criminal Division, and Major Crimes supervisor. Before that, she was a law clerk to the Honorable Cecil F. Poole, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and was the Civil Appeals Division Chief at the Ninth Circuit's Office of Staff Attorneys.

Judge Beeler has served as President of the Northern District of California's Federal Bar Association, as co-chair of the Lawyer Representatives to the Ninth Circuit from the Northern District, and as a member of the board of directors for the Bar Association of San Francisco (BASF). She is a member of the Ninth Circuit's Jury Trial Improvement Committee, the Northern District of California's Criminal Rules & Practice Committee, BASF's Criminal Advisory Committee, and the Edward J. McFetridge American Inn of Court. In April 2006, Judge Beeler received the Northern District Judicial Conference's Public Service Award.

Judge Beeler teaches Criminal Procedure at U.C. Hastings College of the Law and a civics class at the San Francisco Court School for Juvenile Offenders. She lectures regularly at Bay Area law schools and participated in rule-of-law projects in Indonesia, Vietnam, Cambodia, the Philippines, and Jordan. In 2009, as part of a United States Department of Justice/USAID project, she consulted with the Minister of Justice and the Attorney General of Jordan on draft judicial reform legislation to separate judicial and prosecutorial functions.

Judge Beeler graduated with honors from the University of Washington School of Law, where she was Order of the Coif and an Articles Editor on the Washington Law Review. She received her A.B. with honors from Bowdoin College.



**MAGISTRATE JUDGE
DONNA M. RYU**


Oakland

Magistrate Judge Donna M. Ryu was appointed in 2010. Before joining the Court, she served as a Clinical Professor of Law at U.C. Hastings College of the Law and as Associate Professor and Associate Director of the Women's Employment Rights Clinic of Golden Gate University Law School. Her clinical courses included instruction on negotiation, mediation, and trial techniques, as well as employment and social security disability law. She also taught in the area of legal ethics.

She began her legal career with McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen in San Francisco before joining an Oakland-based firm specializing in civil rights class actions. She later formed her own firm, Ryu, Dickey & Larkin. She has extensive experience in discovery and motion work, as well as trial work involving complex litigation.

She has been honored as a California Lawyer of the Year in Employment Law. She is also the recipient of the Asian American Bar Association's Joe Morozumi Award for Exceptional Legal Advocacy, and the Rutter Award for Excellence in Teaching. She co-designed and served on the faculty of a national training institute on class actions, and has written and lectured extensively in the areas of employment law, discovery, attorneys' fees, class actions, and professionalism in lawyering.

Judge Ryu graduated with honors from Yale University, and received her law degree in 1986 from U.C. Berkeley Law School, where she was a founder of the *Berkeley Journal of Gender, Law and Justice*.



**MAGISTRATE JUDGE
HOWARD R. LLOYD**

San Jose

Magistrate Judge Howard R. Lloyd was appointed in 2002. He has presided over a variety of civil and criminal trials and has extensive discovery as well as case-dispositive law and motion experience. He has presided over hundreds of settlement conferences in a wide variety of civil cases.

Judge Lloyd earned his undergraduate degree at the College of William and Mary, graduating Phi Beta Kappa, and his law degree from the University of Michigan Law School. He then worked as a civil trial and appellate lawyer for 30 years with a prominent San Jose law firm and personally tried many cases and argued dozens of appeals. He practiced in all areas, but especially employment, intellectual property, and commercial law. He then worked for 2 years as an independent and full time arbitrator and mediator.

While in private practice Judge Lloyd was selected for voluntary service as an Early Neutral Evaluator (N.D. CA), mediator (California Court of Appeals), and Settlement Judge Pro Tem (Santa Clara County Superior Court). He is a frequent presenter at continuing education courses for attorneys and currently teaches at Santa Clara University Law School.



**MAGISTRATE JUDGE
PAUL SINGH GREWAL**

San Jose

Magistrate Judge Paul S. Grewal was appointed in 2010. Judge Grewal received his Bachelor of Science from MIT, where he was elected to Tau Beta Pi and Sigma Xi, and his law degree from the University of Chicago. After graduating from law school, he served as a law clerk to the Honorable Sam H. Bell of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. After working on complex commercial litigation at Pillsbury Madison & Sutro, he served as a law clerk to the Honorable Arthur J. Gjarsa of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

Judge Grewal then joined Day Casebeer Batchelder & Madrid (which later merged with Howrey LLP), where he was elected partner and served on the firm's management committee. His practice was focused on intellectual property litigation, with a focus on patent trials and appeals.

He has tried patent cases in a variety of federal district courts across the country, and has argued appeals before a variety of federal appellate courts, including the Federal Circuit. His clients ranged from large technology and biotechnology firms to small medical device and financial firms to individual inventors. He also was registered to practice before the Patent and Trademark Office, and his practice included re-examinations before the PTO.

Judge Grewal is a former President of the South Asian Bar of Northern California and the North American South Asian Bar Association.

Revised May 10, 2011